UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,701	01/19/2005	Soon Peng Sim	SG 020016	2154	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 08/20/2007 ELLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			MANAHAN, TODD E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3732			
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		08/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/521,701		SIM, SOON PENG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Todd E. Manaha	n ·	3732				
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute d by the Office later than three months after the mailing m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ju</u>	<u>une 2007</u> .						
<i>'</i> —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
<i>,</i> —								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of CI	aims							
4) Claim(s)	) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<i>'</i> = ' '	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	) is/are objected to. ) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment		•			
O)[_] Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o		,					
Application Pape	ers	•						
·—	cification is objected to by the Examine							
	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)  □ acc							
• •	t may not request that any objection to the	=			N			
•	ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-		).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119	,						
, <del></del>	edgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	opies of the certified copies of the prio	=		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Gee the a	ttached detailed office detail for a flot		, p. 100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1100 11	<b>-</b> .				
Attachment(s)								
	ences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
· :	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ill Date	5)	Notice of Informal Particles of Other:					

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has indicated that claim 8 is intended to be directed to the container, however the claim still includes language directed to the combination of the container and the electrical device. In line 15, "connected to" should be changed to --connectable to—to correct this problem. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu (United Sates Patent Publication No. 2003/0131864) in view of Duqueroie (United States Patent Publication No. 2001/0042553).

Lu discloses a device for applying hair color comprising a container 22 having a first side and a second side; a base part 35 removably attached to the first side and including hair contacting elements 17 and additive outlets 10; an additive displacing member 27 in the vicinity of the second side; and electrical actuator means 28 for forcing the additive displacing member towards the base part for expelling additive from the container through the additive outlets (see figures 1 and 10). Lu discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the base part having a depression that surrounds the outlets. Duqueroie discloses a device for dispensing a

product to the hair wherein the additive outlets are surrounded by a depression which forms a drip tray therearound (see figures 1-3 and para 0041). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a depression around the outlets of the device of Lu in view of Duqueroie in order to form a drip tray to collect excess product.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehringer et al. (United Sates Patent No. 5,333,627) in view of Harlan et al. (United Sates Patent No. 5,289,835) and Duqueroie.

Mehringer et al. disclose the invention essentially as claimed except for the electrical actuator means (see col. 2, lines 51-55 which disclose one head having pins and another head having brush hairs). Harlan et al. disclose a hair dye dispenser having electrical actuating means 43. Duqueroie discloses a device for dispensing a product to the hair wherein the additive outlets are surrounded by a depression which forms a drip tray therearound (see figures 1-3 and para 0041). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Mehringer et al. with electrical actuating means in view of Harlan et al. in order to automatically dispense and precisely control dispensing of the hair dye. It would have been further obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a depression around the outlets of the device in view of Duqueroie in order to form a drip tray to collect excess product.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3732

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272- 4713.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Rodriguez can be reached on 571 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,701 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd E Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T.E. Manahan 17 August 2007